Gamification Of History: AI-Powered Educational Games In Social Studies

Steven Grubaugh
University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Greg Levitt
University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Efforts to strengthen student engagement in history education continue to evolve, and the combination of gamification and artificial intelligence has become one of the more promising developments in recent years. Many students still come to class with the sense that history is a sequence of disconnected facts to recall for a test, which has long frustrated teachers who know the field's interpretive richness (Fitchett & Heafner, 2017). Gamification attempts to interrupt that pattern by adding game-like structures that draw students into the material in a more active way. These elements can range from simple challenges to more sophisticated simulations that invite students to investigate how and why events unfolded. When these strategies are paired with AI systems capable of adapting instruction in real time, the potential for deeper engagement and more equitable learning grows.

Scholars who study digital games in history classrooms have noted that structured play gives students opportunities to test ideas and examine historical forces from different angles. McCall (2016) describes these spaces as "historical laboratories," a phrase that captures how students can experiment with choices or conditions and watch the consequences unfold. Not all gamification is created equal, however. Research consistently shows that reward systems alone rarely lead to meaningful learning. The more effective approaches are those aligned closely with instructional goals and designed to prompt reflection or interpretation rather than simple point accumulation (Sailer & Homner, 2020). When used well, game elements can pull students more deeply into historical thinking by encouraging them to weigh evidence, anticipate alternative outcomes, and draw connections across events.

Artificial intelligence introduces another layer: the ability to adjust instruction moment by moment based on how students respond. Holmes et al. (2019) write that AI-driven systems can track patterns in student performance and respond with tailored supports, something particularly valuable in history courses where students often struggle with dense texts or abstract causal relationships. AI tools now appearing in social studies classrooms vary widely, but many share features such as branching scenarios, adaptive feedback, and options for differentiated tasks. Chen et al. (2020) note that these adaptive mechanisms can help maintain an appropriate level of challenge, keeping students from disengaging when material becomes too difficult or, just as importantly, too easy.

Existing tools already demonstrate what this combination of gamification and adaptivity can achieve. The iCivics platform, for instance, allows students to step into civic roles where their decisions shape unfolding storylines. Studies have found that iCivics contributes to improved civic knowledge and increased interest in public issues (Bers, 2010). The Mission US series offers another example, immersing learners in historical narratives where choices carry ethical, cultural, and political weight. These tools were not originally designed as full AI systems, but recent updates incorporate adaptive supports that respond to student decisions, signaling where the future of such platforms may be heading.

Although these developments are promising, they also reveal a set of practical and ethical concerns that educators must consider. The technological requirements for more advanced, AI-informed games exceed what many schools currently have. Some districts struggle with unreliable connectivity, insufficient device access, or older hardware that cannot support sophisticated simulations (Brown et al., 2022). Beyond infrastructure, equity questions linger. Students without consistent access to technology at home may fall behind when assignments extend beyond the school day (García & Weiss, 2020). Ethical issues surrounding data privacy also deserve careful attention. Learning analytics can be powerful, but Prinsloo and Slade (2016) caution that data collected for instructional purposes must be governed with transparency, clear consent, and sensitivity to student vulnerability. Another consideration is historical accuracy. As Kapell and Elliott (2013) point out, game narratives can oversimplify or distort events if designers prioritize engagement over evidence, a risk that becomes even more delicate when AI begins generating or modifying content.

Taken together, these insights suggest that AI-powered gamification is not a replacement for traditional instruction but a meaningful supplement when thoughtfully integrated. Teachers play a central role in helping students analyze events, interrogate sources, and situate what they learn within broader historical contexts. When teachers guide these experiences, gamification can spark curiosity and motivation, while AI ensures that each learner receives the level of support or challenge they need. The future of these tools will depend not only on technological advancements but on educators' willingness to use them as part of a larger commitment to fostering inquiry, empathy, and historical understanding.

References

Bers, M. U. (2010). Let the games begin: Civic engagement and civic gaming: iCivics in action. *Social Education, 74*(3), 140–144.

Brown, M., McCormack, M., Reeves, J., Brooks, D. C., & Grajek, S. (2022). *EDUCAUSE Horizon Report: Teaching and Learning Edition*. EDUCAUSE.

Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. *IEEE Access, 8*, 75264–75278.

Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining "gamification." In *Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference* (pp. 9–15).

Fitchett, P. G., & Heafner, T. L. (2017). Student demographics and teacher characteristics as predictors of history knowledge. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 67*, 79–92.

García, E., & Weiss, E. (2020). *COVID-19 and student performance, equity, and U.S. education policy*. Economic Policy Institute.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Kapell, M. W., & Elliott, A. B. R. (Eds.). (2013). *Playing with the past: Digital games and the simulation of history*. Bloomsbury.

McCall, J. (2016). Teaching history with digital games: An introduction to the field. *Simulation & Gaming, 47*(4), 517–542.

Prinsloo, P., & Slade, S. (2016). Student vulnerability, agency, and learning analytics: An exploration. *Journal of Learning Analytics, 3*(1), 159–182.